Muntons plc Retirement Benefits Scheme 4 April 2023 Implementation Statement (forming part of the Trustee's report)

Muntons plc Retirement Benefits Scheme

Implementation Statement

Year Ending 4 April 2023

Glossary

ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance
Investment Adviser	First Actuarial LLP
LGIM	Legal & General Investment Management
Scheme	Muntons plc Retirement Benefits Scheme
Scheme Year	5 April 2022 to 4 April 2023
SIP	Statement of Investment Principles
UNPRI	United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment

Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustee has followed its policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme's investment managers and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustee has considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022. This statement has also been prepared with consideration of an Annual Voting Report prepared by the Trustee's Investment Adviser. The Annual Voting Report includes detailed analysis of the voting records of the investment managers and a summary of the key findings is provided in this statement.

Relevant Investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following funds which included an allocation to equities:

- LGIM Diversified Fund
- LGIM (60:40) Global Equity Fund
- LGIM (60:40) Global Equity Fund GBP Hedged
- Partners Fund

The Trustee's Policy Relating to the Exercise of Rights

Summary of the Policy

The Trustee's policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP. The SIP was updated during the Scheme year to reflect changes made to the Scheme's investment strategy, but wording relating to the exercise of rights was not revised. A summary of this wording is as follows:

- The Trustee believes that good stewardship can help create, and preserve, value for companies and markets as a whole and the Trustee wishes to encourage best practice in terms of stewardship.
- The Trustee invests in pooled investment vehicles and therefore accepts that ongoing engagement with the underlying companies (including the exercise of voting rights) will be determined by the investment managers' own policies on such matters.
- When selecting a pooled fund, the Trustee considers, amongst other things, the investment manager's policy in relation to the exercise of the rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments held within the pooled fund.
- When considering the ongoing suitability of an investment manager, the Trustee (in conjunction with its Investment Adviser) will take account of any particular characteristics of that manager's engagement policy that are deemed to be financially material.
- The Trustee will normally select investment managers who are signatories to the UNPRI.
- If it is identified that a fund's investment manager is not engaging with companies the Trustee may look to replace that fund. However, in the first instance, the Trustee

would normally expect its Investment Adviser to raise the Trustee's concerns with the investment manager.

Has the Policy Been Followed During the Scheme Year?

The Trustee's opinion is that its policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustee's belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- . The Trustee did not select any new funds during the period.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustee considered the voting records of the investment managers over the period ending 31 March 2022.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, an updated analysis of the voting records of the investment managers based on the period ending 31 March 2023 has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.
- All the investment managers used by the Scheme are UNPRI signatories.

■ The Investment Managers' Voting Records

A summary of the LGIM's voting record is shown in the table below.

Investment Manager		Split of votes:			
	Number of votes	For	Against / withheld	Did not vote/ abstained	
LGIM	150,000	76%	23%	1%	

Notes

These voting statistics are based on LGIM's full voting record over the 12 months to 31 March 2023 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

Use of Proxy Voting Advisers

Investment Manager Who is their proxy voting adviser?		How is the proxy voting adviser used?		
LGIM	ISS and IVIS	ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administer votes. However, all voting is determined by guidelines set by LGIM.		

■ The Investment Managers' Voting Behaviour

The Trustee has reviewed the voting behaviour of LGIM by considering the following:

- broad statistics of LGIMs voting records such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management");
- the votes LGIM cast in the year to 31 March 2023 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe;
- LGIMs' policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustee has also compared the voting behaviour of LGIM with their peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustee for assessing voting behaviour are provided in the Appendix.

The Trustee's key observations are set out below.

Voting in Significant Votes

Based on information provided by the Trustee's Investment Adviser, the Trustee has identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustee considers votes to be more significant if they are closely contested. i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by LGIM are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustee considered LGIM's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

Analysis of Voting Behaviour

LGIM

In the Annual Voting Report prepared by the Trustee's Investment Advisor, LGIM received a zero-star rating in one category due to a conflict of interest arising from their management of both active and passive funds, where the active funds can 'short' stocks held within the passive funds. It is important to note that while the manager received a zero-star rating in this area, it is solely because of the presence of the conflict of interest and not because there is any evidence that this conflict has been actively exploited. As a result, this zero-star rating does not raise any material concerns for the Trustee.

The manager's willingness to vote against management is consistent with the broad range of policies covered within its corporate governance documentation; each policy provides a set of criteria which can be used to justify a vote against management.

It should also be noted that LGIM has supported shareholder proposals designed to tackle ESG issues and has held directors to account regarding their energy transition proposals (proposals setting out how greenhouse gas emissions will be reduced).

The Trustee has no concerns regarding LGIM's voting record.

Partners Group

Whilst Partners Group are not signatories to the UK Corporate Governance Code, the investment manager does gain an A+ rating from UNPRI (for Strategy & Governance)

The Partners Fund typically has an allocation of about 20% to listed strategies and the Scheme's allocation to the Partners Fund is just under 10% of total assets meaning exposure to listed strategies via the fund represents less than 2% of total Scheme assets. The Trustee does not consider this to be significant in the context of the Scheme's overall assets and Partners has therefore been excluded from the analysis of voting behaviour.

Conclusion

Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustee has no material concerns regarding the voting records of LGIM.

The Trustee will keep the voting actions of LGIM under review.

Clare Kember	24 October 2023
0.0.0 1.0	24 October 2023
	Dat∈

Signed on behalf of the Trustee of the Muntons plc Retirement Benefits Scheme

Appendix

Significant Votes

The table below records how LGIM voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustee

Meeting Date	Proposal		Votes Against (%)	LGIM
15/06/2022	Ratify KPAVS LLP as Auditors	50	50	Against
	Appoint Macars as the Auditors		37	For
29/07/2022	Receive the Annual Report	68	31	For
28/04/2022	Appoint the Auditors	75	24	Against
09/06/2022	Re-appoint Errst & Young LL Pas the auditor of the Company	76	24	For
26/06/2022	leave Shares for Cach	2.2	20	For
	Principle Sylvation (Additionally)			
33/30/2022	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	36	(9.6)	
18/01/2023		63	37	For
	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	62	37	For
13/04/2022	Grant Board Authority to Issue Special Voting Shares	71	29	Against
26/04/2022	Millianty Virtia no Every flue Companyativo	EQ.	40	Agniport
		77777		Against
				Against Against
				Against
	Advisory Vate on Executive Compensation			Against
	- W		- 1000	
7	HALVANIA WAR AND		122	William .
26/04/2022	uffer to can operative end	47	53	Against
10/08/2022	Approve the Waiver of Rule 9	53	47	Against
28/09/2022	Approve Waiver of Rule 9 of the Takeover Code	66	34	
12/05/2022	Approve Walver of Rule 9 of the Takeover Code	74	26	Against
	Authorise Board to issue Free Warrants with Preemptive Rights During a Public			
20/04/2022	Tender Offer	76	28	
10.00	Delegate Power to the Board to Carry Spin-Off Agreements	70	231	
19/05/2022		78	22	Against
28/04/2022	Climate Progress Report	76	24	Against
	Approve Climate Fransition Plan and Climate-Related Financial Disclosure			Against
	Approve Climate Transition Plan		20	For
	Approve the Shell Energy Transition Progress	77	19	Against
	Approve Climate-Related Financial Disclosures	80	19	For
The second second	Mary trans Math Wood law on help of Processor			100000
				For
	No. 2011 11 € 1 (Vol. 5 to 2) 2012 (Vol. 5 to 2) 1 (Vol. 5 to			Against For
12/03/2022	CALIFORNIA CONTRACTOR	04	100	FOI
06/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Submit Severance Agreement (Change-in-Control) to	50:	49	For
	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings		49	
18/05/2022	■ Professional Company of the Company Management of the Company o	50		For
18/05/2022 09/03/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	50	For
09/03/2023	■ Professional Company of the Company Management of the Company o			
09/03/2023 28/04/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	50	For
09/03/2023 28/04/2022 13/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	50	For
09/03/2023 28/04/2022 13/05/2022 Resolutions	Shareholder Resolution: Rejit to Call Special Meetings Shareholger Resolution: Rejit to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Reduce Ownership Threshold for Shareholders to Call	50 50 49	50 49 50	For For
09/03/2023 28/04/2022 13/05/2022 Resolutions 11/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Rejit to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Rejit to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Rejit to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Reduce Ownership Threshold for Shareholders to Call Shareholder Resolution: Report on Reducing Pilastic Politition	50 50 49	50 49 50	For For For
09/03/2023 28/04/2022 13/05/2022 13/05/2022 11/05/2022 23/03/2023	Shaeholder Resolution: Rejet to Call Special Meetings Shaeholder Resolution: Rejet do Call Special Meetings Shaeholder Resolution: Rejet do Call Special Meetings Shaeholder Resolution: Reduce Ownership 1 heshold for Shaeholders to Call Shareholder Resolution: Report on Reducing Plastic Polittion Shareholder Resolution: Assessment of Worker Rights	50 50 49 50 51	50 49 50 49 47	For For
09/03/2023 28/04/2022 13/05/2022 Resolutions 11/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Night to Call Spacial Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Biggit to Call Spacial Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Biggit to Call Spacial Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Reduce Ownership Thresholds in Shareholder Resolution: Report on Reducing Plastic Politation Shareholder Resolution: Asport on Reducing Plastic Politation Shareholder Resolution: Asport on Reducing Reduce Plastic Use	50 50 49	50 49 50	For For For
09/03/2023 28/04/2022 13/05/2022 Resolutions 11/05/2022 23/03/2023 25/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Right so Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Reducer Ownership Thresholds for Shareholders to Call Shareholder Resolution: Report on Reducing Plastic Poliution Shareholder Resolution: Report on Reducing Plastic Poliution Shareholder Resolution: Report on Refrost to Reduce Plastic Use Shareholder Resolution: Ownerse and Report on a Radial Equity	50 50 49 50 51 49	50 49 50 49 47 51	For For For
09/03/2023 28/04/2022 13/05/2022 13/05/2022 11/05/2022 23/03/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Night to Call Spacial Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Biggit to Call Spacial Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Biggit to Call Spacial Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Reduce Ownership Thresholds in Shareholder Resolution: Report on Reducing Plastic Politation Shareholder Resolution: Asport on Reducing Plastic Politation Shareholder Resolution: Asport on Reducing Reduce Plastic Use	50 50 49 50 51	50 49 50 49 47	For For For
	D86, 15/06/2022 18/01/2022 18/01/2022 28/04/2022 28/04/2022 30/08/2022 18/01/2022	15/06/2022 Ratify FFMO LLPs Auditions	15/06/2022 Natily FRMS LL Pas Auditions 50	15/06/2022 Tally 1 Five ILP as Austion 50 50 50 18/06/2022 Tally 1 Five ILP as Austion 50 50 50 18/06/2022 Tally 1 Five ILP as Austion 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5

<u>Note</u>

Where the voting record has not been provided at the fund level, we rely on periodic information provided by investment managers to identify the stocks held. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote.

Methodology for Determining Significant Votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustee believes that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustee's behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis was to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the total number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing an investment manager's voting record in detail but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

The Trustee has not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- · Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a
 vote against the board; there were votes against shareholder resolutions; a vote was
 withheld; or the vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustee is satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about an investment manager's voting behaviour. The Trustee has concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.